

# The $q$ -deformed Jaynes-Cummings Model and its $q$ -Supercoherent States \*

San-Ru Hao<sup>†</sup> and Lu-Ya Wang

Calculating Physics Division, Department of Computer Teaching,  
Human Normal University, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China

## Abstract

In this paper, we have proposed a  $q$ -deformed Jaynes-Cummings(JC) model and constructed the  $q$ -SuperCoherent States(q-SCSs) for the  $q$ -deformed JC model. We have also discussed the properties of the  $q$ -supercoherent states and given the completeness relation expression. The representation of the q-supercoherent states for the  $q$ -deformed JC model is studied as well.

**PACS number(s):** 03.65.Nk

**Key Works:**  $q$ -deformed JC model,  $q$ -supercoherent states,  $q$ -SCSs representation.

---

\*This work was supported in part by the National Foundation of the Science and Technology committee and also by the Foundation of Science and Technology Committee of Hunan

<sup>†</sup>Email address: srhao@sparc2.hunnu.edu.cn

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that the usual coherent states[1-4] of Lie(super)algebra have wide applications to various branches of physics. For example, the coherent property of the quantum state is very important to the quantum system which is used by the quantum computer[5-8]. Over past few years, quantum groups and their representations[9-10] have drawn considerable attention from mathematicians and physicists. People have focused much attention on the algebra of  $q$ -boson oscillators[11,12] for a few years. The  $q$ -boson operator algebra has been used as a tool for constructing the highest weight representation of  $SU_q(2)$ [11,12] and other quantum groups. The  $q$ -boson coherent states have been studied [13,14] and have shown interesting squeezing properties. Parthasarathy and Viswanathan [15] have proposed an algebra of  $q$ -fermion creation and annihilation operators, which allows (for  $0 < q < 1$ ) any numbers of  $q$ -fermions to occupy a given states in contrast to the case of ordinary fermions.

It is natural to investigate the properties of the  $q$ -deformed quantum mechanics systems, which have the supersymmetric properties, and further to study the  $q$ -SuperCoherent States( $q$ -SCSs) of the  $q$ -deformed quantum supersymmetric systems by means of the  $q$ -boson and  $q$ -fermion operators algebra mentioned above.

In our past work , we have studied many supersymmetric quantum system[16-20], but in this paper, we will propose a  $q$ -deformed scheme for the simplest version of Fermi-Bose interaction –the Jaynes-Cummings(JC) model and consider the problem of constructing the  $q$ -SCSs for the  $q$ -deformed JC model. We will also discuss the properties of the  $q$ -SCSs as well.

## 2 $q$ -deformed JC Model and $q$ -supercoherent States

The JC model [21] is assumed to be the simplest version of matter-radiation . It describes a two-level atom (or a single  $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin) coupled linearly with a single bosonic model. The model can be used in the study of the quantum computer, we will discuss this problem in our another work.

The Hamiltonian for JC model is

$$H_{JC} = 2\omega_1 b^+ b + 2\omega_2 f^+ f + \psi b f^+ + b^+ f \bar{\psi} , \quad (2.1)$$

where  $b(b^+)$  and  $f(f^+)$  are the bosonic and fermionic annihilation (creation) operators, respectively, which satisfy the usual commutation and anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [b, b^+] &= 1, \quad \{f, f^+\} = 1, \\ [b, f] &= [b, f^+] = 0, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here an atom is supposed to be in the eignstates which have the energies  $E = 2\omega$  and  $E = 0$ . The interaction constants  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  in equation (2.1) are the grassmann numbers. It is obvious that the Hamiltonian (2.1) is Hamitian and it describes the supersummetric quantum mechanics systems.

A problem of interest is the consideration of the  $q$ -deformed JC model, which describes the  $q$ -deformed supersymmetric quantum mechanics systems. Parthasarathy and Viswanathan [15] have proposed an algebra of  $q$ -fermions creation and annihilation operators. Doing as the same as Ref.[15], we propose that the  $q$ -deformed Hamiltonian  $H_{JCq}$  of the JC model is given by the following expression

$$H_{JCq} = 2\omega_1 a a^+ + 2\omega_2 q^{\frac{M}{2}} f_q^+ f_q + \psi_q a f_q^+ q^{\frac{M}{4}} + q^{\frac{M}{4}} a^+ f_q \bar{\psi}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $a$  and  $a^+$  are the  $q$ -deformed bosonic operators satisfying the following commutation relations

$$a a^+ - q a^+ a = q^{-N}, \quad [N, a] = -a, \quad [N, a^+] = a^+, \quad (2.4)$$

and where  $f_q$  and  $f_q^+$  are the  $q$ -deformed fermionic annihilation and creation operators respectively, obeying the relations[15]

$$f_q f_q^+ + q^{\frac{1}{2}} f_q^+ f_q = q^{-\frac{M}{2}}, \quad [M, f_q^+] = f_q^+, \quad [M, f_q] = -f_q. \quad (2.5)$$

As usual, we have

$$[a, f_q] = [a, f_q^+] = 0, \text{ etc.} \quad (2.6)$$

In above equations,  $N$  and  $M$  are the bosonic and fermionic number operators respectively. And in equation (2.3),  $\psi_q$  and  $\bar{\psi}_q$  are taken as pseudo-Grassmann variables, which means that  $\psi_q \bar{\psi}_q + \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q = 0$ , and are not nilpotent, i.e.  $(\psi_q)^n \neq 0, (\bar{\psi}_q)^n \neq 0$  for  $n > 1$ . Further  $\psi_q$  and  $\bar{\psi}_q$  are taken to anticommute with the  $q$ -deformed fermionic operators, but commute with the fermionic number operator  $M$ .

As it was shown in [15] that for  $0 < q < 1$  any number of  $q$ -fermions can occupy a given state in contrast to the case of ordinary fermions. For this we suppose that the  $q$ -fermionic operators in (2.3), which satisfy the relations (2.5) and (2.6), are not nilpotent for  $0 < q < 1$ , but the nilpotent relations  $f_{q=1}^2 = 0$  and  $(f_{q=1}^+)^2 = 0$  are still satisfied in the weak sense when  $q = 1$ .

For simplicity, we can define in eq.(2.3) the following transformations[20,21]:

$$f_q = q^{-\frac{M}{4}} F, \quad f_q^+ = F^+ q^{-\frac{M}{4}}, \quad (2.7)$$

then, the  $q$ -deformed JC model(2.3) can be expressed as follows:

$$H_{JCq} = 2\omega_1 a^+ a + 2\omega_2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} F^+ F + \psi_q a F^+ + a^+ F \bar{\psi}_q. \quad (2.8)$$

The basic anticommutation relations become

$$F F^+ + q F^+ F = 1, \quad [M, F^+] = F^+, \quad [M, F] = -F. \quad (2.9)$$

In order to construct the  $q$ -supercoherent states for the  $q$ -JC model, we first construct a super-Fock space based on the vacuum state

$$|0\rangle = |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_F, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $|0\rangle_B$  and  $|0\rangle_F$  are the vacuum states of  $q$ -bosonic and  $q$ -fermionic operators respectively, i.e.

$$a|0\rangle_B = 0, \quad F|0\rangle_F = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Iterating (2.9), one arrive at the formula

$$F(F^+)^n - (-q)^n(F^+)^n F = [n]_F(F^+)^{n-1}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $[n]_F$  is the fermionic  $q$ -number defined by the expression

$$[n]_F = \frac{(1 - (-q)^n)}{(1 - (-q))}. \quad (2.13)$$

Making use of the same way, but interating (2.4), one can obtain the following expression as well

$$a(a^+)^n - q^n(a^+)^n a = [n]_B(a^+)^{n-1} q^{-N}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $[n]_B$  is the bosonic  $q$ -number defined as follows

$$[n]_B = \frac{(q^n - q^{-n})}{(q - q^{-1})}. \quad (2.15)$$

By making use of the vacuum state (2.10) and by considering the equation (2.11), the normalized  $n$   $q$ -bosons and  $m$   $q$ -fermions states can be constructed , which is expressed as the follows:

$$|n, m\rangle_q = \frac{(a^+)^n (F^+)^m}{\sqrt{[n]_B! [m]_F!}} |0\rangle, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$[n]_B! = [n]_B [n-1]_B \cdots [1]_B, \quad (2.17)$$

$$[m]_F! = [m]_f [m-1]_F \cdots [1]_F.$$

From (2.16), and by making use of (2.12) and (2.14), the action of the  $q$ -boson operators  $a(a^+)$  and the  $q$ -fermion operators  $F(F^+)$  on the base vectors in the super-Fock space can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} a|n, m\rangle_q &= \sqrt{[n]_B} |n-1, m\rangle_q, \\ a^+|n, m\rangle_q &= \sqrt{[n+1]_B} |n+1, m\rangle_q, \\ F|n, m\rangle_q &= \sqrt{[m]_F} |n, m-1\rangle_q, \\ F^+|n, m\rangle_q &= \sqrt{[m+1]_F} |n, m+1\rangle_q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From equations (2.12) and (2.14), and making use of an induction method, one can get the orthogonality relations

$${}_q\langle n, m | n', m' \rangle_q = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{mm'}. \quad (2.19)$$

In order to construct the  $q$ -supercoherent states of the  $q$ -JC model, we introduce two  $q$ -exponential functions for the  $q$ -bosonic operators and the  $q$ -fermionic operators respectively, which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_{Bq}(zq^+) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n (a^+)^n}{[n]_B!}, \\ \exp_{Fq}(\psi_q F^+) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\psi_q F^+)^m}{[m]_F!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

These  $q$ -exponential functions are the  $q$ -analog of the usual classical ones. In equation (2.20),  $z$  is taken as the complex number and the  $\psi_q$ , which is introduced by equation (2.8), is a pseudo-Grassmann variable and is not nilpotent, but  $\psi_q \longrightarrow \psi$  if  $q \longrightarrow 1$ .

Let us now construct the  $q$ -SCSs. The  $q$ -SCSs of the  $q$ -JC model can be defined as

$$|z, \psi_q\rangle = N(\bar{z}z, \psi_q \bar{\psi}_q) \exp_{Bq}(za^+) \exp_{Fq}(-\psi_q F^+) |0\rangle, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $N(\bar{z}z, \psi_q \bar{\psi}_q)$  is the normalization factor, and the  $q$ -exponential functions are defined by (2.20). Since  $z$  is a complex variable and  $\psi_q$  has the properties of the Grassmann variables, then we call  $|z, \psi_q\rangle$  as the  $q$ -supercoherent states.

### 3 Properties of $q$ -supercoherent states

There are essentially two properties that all coherent states have to share in common. The first property is the continuity, i.e. the coherent state is a strongly continuous function of its label variables; The second property that all sets of coherent states share in common is the completeness (or called as resolution of unity), i.e. there exists a positive measure  $d\sigma$  on the space in which the coherent state is defined so that the unit operator  $I$  admits the resolution of unity,

$$I = \int d\sigma |x\rangle \langle x|, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $|x\rangle$  is a coherent state.

For the q-SCSs  $|z, \psi_q\rangle$ , it must has the two properties mentioned above. Now we discuss those properties detailed.

By means of Eqs.(2.20), the q-SCSs (2.21) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} |z, \psi_q\rangle &= N(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q\psi_q) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n (a^+)^n (-\psi_q F^+)^m}{[n]_B! [m]_F!} |0\rangle, \\ &= N(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q\psi_q) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{<m/2>+m} z^n (\psi_q)^m}{\sqrt{[n]_B! [m]_F!}} |n, m\rangle_q, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $<m/2>$  stands for the integer part of  $m/2$ . We have used equation (2.16) in the second equality of equation (3.2).

Let us consider the normalized q-SCSs. Thus we have

$$\langle z, \psi_q | z, \psi_q \rangle = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.3) and using (2.19), one can obtain the normalized form of the q-SCSs

$$\langle z, \psi_q | z, \psi_q \rangle = N^2(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q\psi_q) \exp_{Bq}(\bar{z}z) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}_q\psi_q) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Then the normalization constant (or factor) is given by the expression

$$N(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q\psi_q) = (\exp_{Bq}(\bar{z}z) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}_q\psi_q))^{-1/2}. \quad (3.5)$$

In view of (3.2) and the inner product (2.19), it follows that the  $q$ -supercoherent states overlap is given by

$$\langle z, \psi_q | z', \psi'_q \rangle = N(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) N(\bar{z}'z', \bar{\psi}'_q \psi'_q) \exp_{Bq}(\bar{z}z') \exp_F q(\bar{\psi}_q \psi'_q). \quad (3.6)$$

It means that the  $q$ -SCSs of the  $q$ -deformed JC model are not orthogonal to each other and that they are linearly dependent. It is obvious that the  $q$ -SCSs are the strongly continuous function of their label variables  $z$  and  $\psi_q$ . As is mentioned above, the core of coherent states is their completeness (in another words: resolution of unity).

As is well known, the resolution of unity in the super-Fock space spanned by the base vectors defined by (2.16) can be written as

$$I = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n, m|. \quad (3.7)$$

By means of this equation, in the present case, it can be shown that the  $q$ -SCSs form a complete set. And their completeness relation takes the form

$$\int \int d_q^2 z d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q \sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) |z, \psi_q\rangle \langle z, \psi_q| = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n, m| = I, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_q^2 z &= \frac{1}{[2]_B} d_q r^2 d\varphi, \quad z = r e^{i\varphi}, \quad d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q = d_{Fq}(\bar{\zeta}\zeta) d\theta, \\ \psi_q &= \zeta e^{i\theta}, \quad \bar{\psi}_q = \bar{\zeta} e^{-i\theta}, \quad \bar{\zeta}\zeta + \zeta\bar{\zeta} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Note that  $\psi_q$  is the pseudo-Grassmann variable and the integral over  $d_q r^2$  and  $d_{Fq}(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)$  are the  $q$ -integration. while the integral over  $d\varphi$  and  $d\theta$  are the usual integration. The weight  $q$ -super-function  $\sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q)$  in equation (3.8) is given by

$$\sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) = \frac{[2]_B \exp_{Bq}(-\bar{z}z) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}_q \psi_q)}{(2\pi)^2 \exp_{Bq}(\bar{z}z) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}_q \psi_q)}. \quad (3.10)$$

We now prove the completeness relation (3.8). Substituting (3.2),(3.5) and (3.10) into (3.8), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & l.h.s \text{ of (3.8)} \\
 &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n',m'=0}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_B}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int d_q^2 z d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q \exp_{Bq}(-\bar{z}z) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) \\
 & \quad \cdot \frac{(-1)^{<m/2>+<m'/2>+m+m'}}{\sqrt{[n]_B! [m]_F! [n']_B! [m']_F!}} (\psi_q)^m (\bar{\psi}_q)^{m'} |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n', m'| \\
 &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n',m'=0}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_B}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int ([2]_B)^{-1} d_q r^2 d\varphi d_{Fq}(\bar{\zeta}\zeta) d\theta \exp_{Bq}(-r^2) \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\zeta}\zeta) \\
 & \quad \cdot \frac{(-1)^{<m/2>+<m'/2>+m+m'}}{\sqrt{[n]_B! [m]_F! [n']_B! [m']_F!}} e^{i(n-n')\varphi} e^{i(m-m')\theta} r^{m+m'} \zeta^m \bar{\zeta}^{m'} |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n, m| \\
 &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \int d_{Fq}(\bar{\zeta}\zeta) \frac{(\bar{\zeta}\zeta)^m}{[m]_F!} \exp_{Fq}(-\bar{\zeta}\zeta) |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n, m|. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the  $\varphi$  and  $\theta$  integration

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i(n-m)\varphi) d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i(n-m)\theta) d\theta = \delta_{nm}, \tag{3.12}$$

and the  $q$ -Euler formula for the function  $\Gamma(x)$ [12]

$$\int_0^{\xi} \exp_{Bq}(-x) d_q x = [n]_B!, \tag{3.13}$$

for  $\xi$  being a large number.

For quantum groups, we define here the  $Fq$ -derivative for the pseudo-Grassmann variables as

$$\frac{df(x)}{d_{Fq}x} = \frac{f(x) - f(-qx)}{x - (-qx)}, \tag{3.14}$$

hence, one can obtain the  $Fq$ -Euler formula for the function  $\Gamma(x)$ :

$$\int_0^{\xi} x^n \exp_{Fq}(-x) d_{Fq}x = [n]_F!, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\xi$  is a large number.

By making use of (3.15), it follows from (3.11) that

$$l.h.s \text{ of (3.8)} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} |n, m\rangle_{qq} \langle n, m| = I. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, we have proved that the  $q$ -SCSs  $|z, \psi_q\rangle$  defined by (2.21) are completeness and equation (3.8) holds.

With the aid of the completeness relation of the  $q$ -SCSs, one can expand an arbitrary vector  $|f\rangle$  as

$$|f\rangle = \int \int d_q^2 z d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q \sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) |z, \psi_q\rangle \langle z, \psi_q| f \rangle. \quad (3.17)$$

Setting  $|f\rangle = |z', \psi'_q\rangle$ , an arbitrary  $q$ -SCSs of the  $q$ -JC model, then we have

$$|z', \psi'_q\rangle = \int \int d_q^2 z d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q \sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) |z, \psi_q\rangle \langle z, \psi_q| z', \psi'_q \rangle. \quad (3.18)$$

This means that the system of  $q$ -supercoherent states is over-complete.

#### 4 $q$ -SCSs representation of the $q$ -deformed JC model

In this section, we study the  $q$ -SCSs representation of the  $q$ -deformed JC model  $H_{JCq}$  defined by (2.8). The trace of  $H_{JCq}$  is discussed as well. These quantities have widely been used in constructing the coherent state path-integral representation of models and in studying the decoherence of the quantum system based by a quantum computer.

From equations (2.18) and considering (3.2), we arrive at the formulas

$$a |z, \psi_q\rangle = z |z, \psi_q\rangle, \quad F |z, \psi_q\rangle = \psi_q |z, \psi_q\rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

So we further have

$$\langle z, \psi_q| = \langle z, \psi_q| \bar{z}, \quad \langle z, \psi_q| = \langle z, \psi_q| \bar{\psi}_q. \quad (4.2)$$

If one hope to use the standard path-integral method in studying JC model and related problems, the coherent states representation of the Hamiltonian must be used. Therefore we will study the  $q$ -SCSs representation of the  $q$ -deformed JC model here. From (2.8) and by making use of (4.1) and (4.2), it follows that the  $q$ -SCSs representation of  $H_{JCq}$  can be given by

$$H_{JCq}(z, \psi_q) \equiv \langle z, \psi_q | H_{JCq} | z, \psi_q \rangle = 2\omega_1 \bar{z}z + 2\omega_2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q + (\bar{z} + z) \psi_q \bar{\psi}_q. \quad (4.3)$$

It is obvious that (4.3) is the diagonal matrix element of the  $q$ -deformed JC Hamiltonian operator  $H_{JCq}$ . The off-diagonal matrix elements of operator  $H_{JCq}$ , which is very interested to some peoples who are studying the decoherence of the quantum computer system[8], can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{JCq}(z, \psi_q; z', \psi'_q) &\equiv \langle z, \psi_q | H_{JCq} | z', \psi'_q \rangle \\ &= N(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) N(\bar{z}'z', \bar{\psi}'_q \psi'_q) \exp_{Bq}(\bar{z}z') \exp_{Fq}(\bar{\psi}'_q \psi_q) \\ &\quad \cdot (2\omega_1 \bar{z}z' + 2\omega_2 q^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\psi}_q \psi'_q + \psi_q \bar{\psi}_q z' + \bar{z} \psi'_q \bar{\psi}_q). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Apart from the normalization factors, this defines an entire function of variables  $z, z', \psi_q$  and  $\bar{\psi}_q$ . It is straightforward to see that such a function is uniquely determined by its diagonal representation (4.3).

Making use of the completeness relation (3.8), we observe that the trace of  $H_{JCq}$  may be represented by

$$Tr(H_{JCq}) = \int \int d_q^2 z d_{Fq}^2 \psi_q \sigma(\bar{z}z, \bar{\psi}_q \psi_q) H_{JCq}(z, \psi_q), \quad (4.5)$$

which is a useful expression for constructing the  $q$ -SCSs path-integral representation of this model and for discussing the decoherence of the quantum computer system, and we will use this expression in our another work.

## 5 Conculding remark

We have proposed a  $q$ -deformed scheme for the usual JC model and constructed the  $q$ -supercoherent states for this model. We have discussed the properties of the

$q$ -supercoherent states as well. The  $q$ -supercoherent states have some advantages such as they contain the usual complex variables and the Grassmann variables, so that one can easily apply them to the supersymmetric quantum systems.

It is possible to generalize this kind of  $q$ -supercoherent states to other supersymmetric quantum systems, e.g. the  $q$ -superoscillators in supersymmetric quantum systems. It is also interesting to discuss the decoherence of the quantum computer systems by using the representation of the  $q$ -SCSs of the  $q$ -deformed JC model. These two works are done by us, the reader who are interested in those can read our works[8,16-20].

## **6 Acknowledgement**

This research was supported by the National Foundation of the Science and Technology Committee, and also by the Foundation of the Science and Technology Committee of Hunan.

## **References**

- [1] R.J.Klauder and B.S.Skagerstam, coherent states, (1989)(world Scientific).
- [2] C.Quesne, J.Phys. A: Math.Gen. 23(1990),5383;5411.
- [3] Schmitt and Muftri, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23(1990), L861.
- [4] L.Bano and Rowe, J. Math. Phys. 31(1990),14.
- [5] L. Viola and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A 58,2733(1998).
- [6] C. P. Sun, H. Zhan and X. F. Liu, Phys. Rev. A 58,1810(1998).
- [7] P.W.Shor, Phys. Rev. A,52,2493(1995).

- [8] S.R.Hao and L. Y. Wang, The suppression of decoherence in two-state quantum systems which interact with bath through the application of an external controllable fields(preprint, submit to Acta Physica Sinica(1999))
- [9] V.G.Drinfeld, Quantum groups, Proc. I.C.M. Berkeley(1986),789.
- [10] M.Jinbo, Lett. Math. Phys.10(1985),63;11(1986),247.
- [11] A.J.Macfarlane, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen.,22(1989),44581.
- [12] R. W. Gray and C.A.Nelson, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.23(1990),L945;  
L.C.Bidenharn, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen.,22(1989),L873.
- [13] E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. Lett., 66(1991),2056.
- [14] J. Katriel and A.I.Solomon, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.,24(1991),2093.
- [15] R. Parthasathy and K.S.Viswantathan, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 24(1991),613.
- [16] S.R. Hao, J. Y. Long and G. H. Li , Commun. Theor. Phys. 22 ,53(1994)
- [17] S. R . Hao , G. H. Li and J. Y. Long, J. Phys. A: Math gen. 27, 5995 (1994)
- [18] G. H. Li and S. R. Hao et al J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27,3073 1994
- [19] S.R.Hao Acta Physica Sinica, 42(1993),691.
- [20] S.R.Hao Acta Physica Sinica, 42(1993),1057.
- [21] E.T.Jaynes and F.W.Cummings, Proc. IEEE,51(1963),89.
- [22] C.P.Sun, X.F.Liu, J.F.Lu and M.L.Ge, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.,25(1992),L35.